By: Lawlindon

<u>5</u>.c.r. No. <u>4/</u>

SENATE A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Texas is blessed with a wealth of saltwater and 1 freshwater fishing opportunities, and recreational fishing is one 2 of the most popular outdoor sporting activities in the state; and 3 WHEREAS, Generating millions of dollars and enjoyed by people 4 of all ages, recreational fishing makes a substantial contribution 5 to local and state economies as well as to the quality of life in 6 7 Texas; and WHEREAS, High-quality fishing can be found in the state's 8 bays and estuaries and other coastal waters, where the availability 9 of fish has never been better, according to the Texas Parks and 10 Wildlife Department (TPWD); and 11 the Parks and Wildlife WHEREAS, Rod and reel anglers strongly support, TPWD'S 12 regulations, including minimum size fisheries management 13 requirements, bag limits, and gear restrictions, and these 14 conservation efforts have been successful; and 15 WHEREAS, The support of rod and reel anglers, who are 16 committed to preserving and enhancing water quality in oceans, 17 bays, and estuaries, is also important to the Texas Commission on 18 Environmental Quality (TCEQ); and the Parks and Wildlife Depur tremt 19 WHEREAS, A TPWD and TCED have not found it necessary to 20 restrict recreational fishing in marine waters because there has 21 never been a clear indication that fishing was the cause of a 22 specific conservation problem; and 23 WHEREAS, Some state agencies may base regulations on studies 24

> 5-7-03 5-14-03 53e

1-1 By: Armbrister

1-2 (In the Senate - Filed April 10, 2003; April 14, 2003, read first time and referred to Committee on Natural Resources; 1-4 May 7, 2003, reported favorably by the following vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0; May 7, 2003, sent to printer.)

1-6

1 - 7

1-8

1 - 9

1-10 1-11

1-12 1-13

1-14 1-15 1-16

1-17 1-18

1-19

1-20 1-21

1-22 1-23 1-24

1-25

1-26

1-27 1-28

1-29

1-30

1-31

1-32 1-33

1-34

1-35

1-36

1-37 1-38 1-39

1-40

1-41

1-42

1-43 1-44 1-45 1-46

1-47 1-48

1-49 1-50

1-51

1-52

1-53

1**-**54 1**-**55

1-56

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Texas is blessed with a wealth of saltwater and freshwater fishing opportunities, and recreational fishing is one of the most popular outdoor sporting activities in the state; and

WHEREAS, Generating millions of dollars and enjoyed by people of all ages, recreational fishing makes a substantial contribution to local and state economies as well as to the quality of life in Texas; and

WHEREAS, High-quality fishing can be found in the state's bays and estuaries and other coastal waters, where the availability of fish has never been better, according to the Parks and Wildlife Department; and

WHEREAS, Rod and reel anglers strongly support the Parks and Wildlife Department's fisheries management regulations, including minimum size requirements, bag limits, and gear restrictions, and these conservation efforts have been successful; and

WHEREAS, The support of rod and reel anglers, who are committed to preserving and enhancing water quality in oceans, bays, and estuaries, is also important to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality; and

WHEREAS, The Parks and Wildlife Department and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality have not found it necessary to restrict recreational fishing in marine waters because there has never been a clear indication that fishing was the cause of a specific conservation problem; and

WHEREAS, Some state agencies may base regulations on studies that do not consider the economic impact of recommendations; in the case of proposed fishing or boating restrictions, the economic impact to coastal communities could be severe; and

WHEREAS, Ongoing studies of bays and estuaries are under the auspices of the Parks and Wildlife Department, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and the Texas Water Development Board, which shares jurisdiction over freshwater inflows into bays and estuaries with the Parks and Wildlife Department; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the 78th Legislature of the State of Texas hereby direct the Parks and Wildlife Department, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and Texas Water Development Board to exclude consideration or promotion of any study or plan that recommends restrictions on recreational fishing areas; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the 78th Legislature also direct the three agencies to require bay and estuary studies to include the economic impact to coastal communities with any proposal to restrict fishing or boating in those areas; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the secretary of state forward an official copy of this resolution to the executive directors of the Parks and Wildlife Department and Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and to the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board.

* * * *

FAVORABLE SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT ON

| SB | SCR SJR | SR∧ HB. HCR. HJR | 41 | |
|----|---------|-------------------------|-------------|--|
| | Ву | Hombrister | | |
| | | (Author/Senate Sponsor) | | |
| | | (date) | | |

| We, your Committee on _ | NATURA | L RES | OURCES | , to | which was re | eferred the at | tached measu | ıre, |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|
| have on(d | ate of hearing) | , l | nad the sam | e under cor | nsideration ar | nd I am instr | ucted to repor | t it |
| back with the recommend | | | | | | | | |
| do pass and be printed | d | | | | | | | |
| () do pass and be ordere | d not printed | | | | | | | |
| and is recommended | for placement on t | he Local | and Uncon | tested Bills | Calendar. | | | |
| A fiscal note was requeste | ed. | yes | () no | | | | | |
| A revised fiscal note was | requested. | () yes | no | * | | | | |
| An actuarial analysis was | s requested. | () yes | () /no | | | | | |
| Considered by subcommit | ttee. | () yes | (b) no | | | | | |
| The measure was reporte | d from Committee | e by the | following vo | te: | | | | |

| YEA | NAY | ABSENT | PNV |
|-----|--------------------|---------|----------------|
| V | | | |
| i/ | | | |
| | · | | |
| | | | |
| V | | | |
| V | | | |
| | | | |
| V | | | |
| | | | |
| l V | | | |
| | | | |
| a | 0 | + 2 | 0 |
| | YEA V V V V Q | YEA NAY | YEA NAY ABSENT |

COMMITTEE ACTION

Considered in public hearing Testimony taken

COMMITTEE CLERK

Paper clip the original and one copy of this signed form to the original bill Retain one copy of this form for Committee files

WITNESS LIST

SCR 41

SENATE COMMITTEE REPORT

Natural Resources

May 6, 2003 - 10:00AM

FOR:

Smarr, Jim (Texas Recreational Fishing Alliance), Fulton, TX

AGAINST: Marston, Jim Director (Environmental Defense), Austin, TX

ON:

Osburn, Hal Coastal Fisheries Division (Texas Parks and Wildlife Department),

Austin, TX

BILL ANALYSIS

Senate Research Center 78R10530 CLE-D

S.C.R. 41 By: Armbrister Natural Resources 5/5/2003 As Filed

DIGEST

Texas is blessed with a wealth of saltwater and freshwater fishing opportunities, and recreational fishing is one of the most popular outdoor sporting activities in the state. Generating millions of dollars and enjoyed by people of all ages, recreational fishing makes a substantial contribution to local and state economies as well as to the quality of life in Texas. High-quality fishing can be found in the state's bays and estuaries and other coastal waters, where the availability of fish has never been better, according to the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD). Rod and reel anglers strongly support TPWD's fisheries management regulations, including minimum size requirements, bag limits, and gear restrictions, and these conservation efforts have been successful. The support of rod and reel anglers, who are committed to preserving and enhancing water quality in oceans, bays, and estuaries, is also important to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). TPWD and TCEQ have not found it necessary to restrict recreational fishing in marine waters because there has never been a clear indication that fishing was the cause of a specific conservation problem. Some state agencies may base regulations on studies that do not consider the economic impact of recommendations; in the case of proposed fishing or boating restrictions, the economic impact to coastal communities could be severe. Ongoing studies of bays and estuaries are under the auspices of TPWD, TCEQ, and the Texas Water Development Board, which shares jurisdiction over freshwater inflows into bays and estuaries with TPWD.

PURPOSE

Provides that the 78th Legislature of the State of Texas hereby directs the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD), Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), and Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) to exclude consideration or promotion of any study or plan that recommends restrictions on recreational fishing areas. Provides that the 78th Legislature also direct the three agencies to require bay and estuary studies to include the economic impact to coastal communities with any proposal to restrict fishing or boating in those areas. Provides that the secretary of state forward an official copy of this resolution to the executive directors of the TPWD and TCEQ and to the executive administrator of TWDB.

REQUEST FOR LOCAL & UNCONTESTED CALENDAR PLACEMENT

| SENATOR CHRIS HARRIS, CH | AIRMAN | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| SENATE COMMITTEE ON ADM | MINISTRATION | | | • |
| Notice is hereby given that | SUR41 | , byAppen | | , |
| was heard by the Committee on | Natural | Resources | (Author/Sponsor) | , 2003 |
| and reported out with the recomm | nendation that it be | e placed on the Local a | and Uncontested Cale | endar. |
| | | 16 | O Win | 1 |
| | | YBUy | C. Billie | A |
| | | (Clerk of | the reporting commit | tee) |

IMPORTANT: A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE ATTACHED TO A **COMMITTEE PRINTED VERSION** OF THE BILL OR RESOLUTION AND SHOULD BE DELIVERED TO THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OFFICE, E1.714. DEADLINES FOR SUBMITTING BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS WILL BE ANNOUNCED ON A REGULAR BASIS.

Engrossed May 15, 2003

March Elegand

Engrossing Clerk

By: Armbrister

S.C.R. No. 41

| 1 | SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION |
|----|---|
| 2 | WHEREAS, Texas is blessed with a wealth of saltwater and |
| 3 | freshwater fishing opportunities, and recreational fishing is one |
| 4 | of the most popular outdoor sporting activities in the state; and |
| 5 | WHEREAS, Generating millions of dollars and enjoyed by people |
| 6 | of all ages, recreational fishing makes a substantial contribution |
| 7 | to local and state economies as well as to the quality of life in |
| 8 | Texas; and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, High-quality fishing can be found in the state's |
| 10 | bays and estuaries and other coastal waters, where the availability |
| 11 | of fish has never been better, according to the Parks and Wildlife |
| 12 | Department; and |
| 13 | WHEREAS, Rod and reel anglers strongly support the Parks and |
| 14 | Wildlife Department's fisheries management regulations, including |
| 15 | minimum size requirements, bag limits, and gear restrictions, and |
| 16 | these conservation efforts have been successful; and |
| 17 | WHEREAS, The support of rod and reel anglers, who are |
| 18 | committed to preserving and enhancing water quality in oceans, |
| 19 | bays, and estuaries, is also important to the Texas Commission on |
| 20 | Environmental Quality; and |
| 21 | WHEREAS, The Parks and Wildlife Department and the Texas |
| 22 | Commission on Environmental Quality have not found it necessary to |
| 23 | restrict recreational fishing in marine waters because there has |
| 24 | never been a clear indication that fishing was the cause of a |

S.C.R. No. 41

specific conservation problem; and

WHEREAS, Some state agencies may base regulations on studies that do not consider the economic impact of recommendations; in the case of proposed fishing or boating restrictions, the economic impact to coastal communities could be severe; and

WHEREAS, Ongoing studies of bays and estuaries are under the auspices of the Parks and Wildlife Department, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and the Texas Water Development Board, which shares jurisdiction over freshwater inflows into bays and estuaries with the Parks and Wildlife Department; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the 78th Legislature of the State of Texas hereby direct the Parks and Wildlife Department, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and Texas Water Development Board to exclude consideration or promotion of any study or plan that recommends restrictions on recreational fishing areas; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the 78th Legislature also direct the three agencies to require bay and estuary studies to include the economic impact to coastal communities with any proposal to restrict fishing or boating in those areas; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the secretary of state forward an official copy of this resolution to the executive directors of the Parks and Wildlife Department and Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and to the executive administrator of the Texas Water Development Board.

| S.C.R. 4/ | By Canlenbrister |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Water | ing the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, and Texas Development Board to exclude consideration of recreational fishing restrictions in any study of Texas nd estuaries. |
| | Filed with Secretary of the Senate |
| APR 1 4 2003 MAY 0 7 2003 | Read first time and referred to Committee onNATURAL_RESOURCES Reported favorably |
| MAY 1 5 2003 | Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time. Laid before Senate Consideration ordered by: |
| | unanimous consent yeas, nays |
| MAY 1 5 2003 | Read,and adopted. |
| Mardi (1) Engrossing Clerk | Olyand. |
| | |
| MAY 1 6 2003 Y 1 6 2003 | Received from Senate Read first time and referred to Committee onState Cultural and Recreational Resources |
| | Reported favorably (as amended) (as substituted) |
| | Sent to Committee on (Calendars) (Local & Consent Calendars) |
| | Read (comm. subst.), (amended) and adopted (failed) by a (non-record vote) (record vote of yeas, nays, present, not voting) |
| | Returned to Senate. |
| | CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE |
| | Returned from House without amendment. |

Returned from House with _____ amendments.

Concurred in House amendments by a viva voce vote _____ yeas, ____ nays.